	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Indo adam Communica	10/722.749	WONG, HON-SUM P.						
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Davienne Monbleau	2878						
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):							
(1) <u>Davienne Monbleau</u> .	(3) <u>Kīn-Wah Tong</u> .							
(2) <u>Diana Rey</u> .	(4)							
Date of Interview: 01 February 2006.								
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal (copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)☐ applicant's representativ	e]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No	· _== · · ·						
Claim(s) discussed: ALL.								
Identification of prior art discussed: Merrill et al. (U.S. 6,84	<u>1,816)</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ l	N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the genera reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	I nature of what was agreed to	o if an agreement was						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)								
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.								
	Sylepho Primar	nne B. Alleri y Examiner						
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		nature, if required						

U.S. Paters and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 02022006

→ PTO

## Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

#### Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

in every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135, (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Tradsmerk Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendence of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Tradsmerk Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Tradsmark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any afleged oral promise, adjustion, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is liself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an Interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the Interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which Interview recordetion is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the

substance of an Interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the literature. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An Indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the Interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner.

  (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) If appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

#### Examiner to Chack for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

### Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413)

Application No. 10/722,749

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner and Attorneys discussed whether Merrill teaches the claimed limitation of a color reflector between a substrate and a vertical stack of color sensors. After discussion and further consideration, the Examiner maintains that Merrill teaches the claimed limitation.

Attorneys made the following arguments:

- A. Memil is devoid of any explicit teaching relating to the need or desirability for a reflector placed between a substrate and a vertical stack of color sensors.
- B. Merrill teaches away from the inclusion of a reflector positioned between the substrate and the vertical stack of color sensors.

Regarding argument A, the Examiner agrees that Memill does not explicitly teach a reflector placed between a substrate and a vertical stack of color sensors. The Examiner maintains, however, that this does preclude that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the rejection to use a reflector in that position to achieve similar advantages that Memill does teach: namely resulting in that the desired light passes twice through the respective sensor which improves color absorbance and detection.

Regarding argument B, the Examiner does not find this persuasive for two reasons. First, although Merrill's primary focus is using the partial filters is to eliminate color discrimination problems in the respective subsequent color sensor, this does not imply that he is teaching away from using a reflector between the substrate and last sensor. Second, Merrill states (column 17, lines 35-37) that an additional advantage of using the partial filters is that "the reflected photons return through any layers above them, which gives them a second change to be absorbed." Thus, Merrill teaches the advantage of improving the absorbance of the color sensors by enabling the light to pass through the respective color sensor twice. Therefore, although Merrill does not explicitly claim a reflector between the substrate and the last sensor, he teaches using the double-pass techniqe to improve absorbance and thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement a reflector for the last sensor in the vertical stack for that same purpose.

## TELEFAX COVER SHEET

RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER** 

# PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP

FEB 2 1 2006

ATTORNEYS AT LAW 595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE FIRST FLOOR SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702 **TELEPHONE (732) 530-9404** TELEFAX (732) 530-9808

THIS TELEFAX MESSAGE IS ADDRESSED TO T IF IT WAS SENT OR RECEIVED INCORREC RECIPIENT, PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT THIS CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL, AND YOUR DI NECESSARY. YOU MAY ARRANGE TO RETUR	TLY, OR YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED MESSAGE MAY CONTAIN PRIVILEGED OR JE REGARD FOR THIS INFORMATION IS
LISTED ABOVE A	T (732) 530-9404
************	
THIS MESSAGE HAS PAGES INCLUDIN	G THIS SHEET
TO: Commissioner of Patent	ts
FAX NO.: (571) 273-8300	
FROM: Kin-Wah Tong, Esq.	
DATE: February 21, 2006	
MATTER: U.S. Serial No.: 10/722	.749 Filed November 26, 2003
DOCKET NO.: YOR920030513US1	
APPLICANT: Wong	
The following has been received in the U.S. Patent and	Trademark Office on the date of this facsimile:
X Response Under 37 C.F.R. 1.116  Disclosure Statement & PTO-1449  Priority Document  Preliminary Amendment X Interview Summary	X Transmittal Letter (2 copies)  Fee Transmittal (2 copies)  Deposit Account Transaction  X Facsimile Transmission Certificate dated February 21, 2006  Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMIS  I hereby certify that this correspondence is because of the patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 of the patents of person signing this certificate	being transmitted by facsimile to Commissioner for

PTC/\$B/21 (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0851-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM		Application Numbe	ar .	10/722,749	3				
		Filing Date		November	26, 2003		REC	ΕIV	/ED
		First Named Invent	tor	Hon-Sum I	P. Wong	CENT	TRALI	FAX	CENTE
		Art Unit		2878			FFR	2 1	2006
(in he used for all correspondence aff	ec lokiel filiog)	Examiner Name		Monbleau,	Davienne N.			_ •	2000
(to be used for all correspondence after Initial Ming)  Otal Number of Pages In This Submission  Attorney Docket Number			umber	YOR920030513US1					
	ENCLO	OSURES (check all tha	t apply)				=		
Fee Transmittal Form	☐ Drawing(			After Allo	owance Commu	nication to	тс		
Fee Attached	Licensing	g-related Papers		— …	Communication :				
Amendment / Reply	Petition				Communication lottice, Brief, Rep				
☑ After Final		o Convert to a nat Application		Proprieta	ary Information				
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address			eller				
Extension of Time Request	☐ Terminal	Disclaimer		Other E	nclosure(s) lentify below):				
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s)			ansmission mmary				
Information Disclosure Statemen		ndscape Table on CD							
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)  Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application  Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR1.52 or 1.53		it is believed no ge that fee to deposit a opy of this letter is enc	ecount nu				ge, a		
SI	GNATURE OF	APPLICANT, ATTO	RNEY, O	R AGENT					
Firm	Patterson & Si								
Signature	4	NER	3						
Printed Name	Kin-Wah Tong	L Esq.	. "						
Date	February 21, 2	February 21, 2006 Reg.			39,400				
	CERTIFICA	ATE OF TRANSMISS	ION/MAI	LING				2	
I hereby certify that this correspond Service with sufficient postage as Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the d	first class mall	In an envelope address							
Signature	atel							1	
Typed or printed name Tara C	arter			Date	February 21,	2006		1	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.6. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentially is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. This will very depending upon the instrinction case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLÉTED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in complating the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Filing Date   November 28, 2003   FECEIVED   First Named Inventor   Hon-Sum P. Wong   CENTRAL FAX CENTER   L FA	TRANSMITTAL FORM		Application Number	er	10/722,749	9					
First Named Inventor Hon-Sum P. Wong CENTRIC FAX CENTER  (to be used for all correspondence what initial filter)  Total Number of Pages in This Submission Attorney Docket Number Y0R920030513US1			Filing Date	·	November	26, 2003	RE	CEI	VED		
Examiner Name   Monbleau, Devienne N.			First Named Inven	tor	Hon-Sum	P. Wong	CENTR/	L FA	K CENTER		
Examiner Name   Monbleau, Devienne N.			Art Unit		2878		FE	B 2 1	2006		
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)	(to be used for all correspondence	e after Initiat filloo)	Examiner Name		Monbleau,	Davienne N.					
Fee Transmittal Form			Attorney Docket N	umber	YOR92003	30513U\$1		<i>†</i>			
Fee Transmittal Form		ENCLO	OSURES (check all tha	it apply)				1			
Cleansing-related   Cleansing-related Papers   Of Appeals and Interferences   Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Marker Final   Petition to Convent to a   Provisional Application   Provesional Appli	Fee Transmittel Form				After Alla	owance Communi	cation to TC	1			
Amendment / Reply After Final Petition After Final Petition to Convert to a Provisional Appleat Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Raply Brief) Petition to Convert to a Provisional Appleation Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address Terminal Disclaimer Proprietary Information Status Letter Change of Correspondence Address Terminal Disclaimer Cother Enclosure(s) (phease Wentify below): Feachille Transmission Interview Summary Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Remarks I its believed no fee is due. However, in the event a fee is due, Mondily charge that fee to deposit account number 20-0782. To facilitate that charge, a duplicate copy of this letter is enclosed.  SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Paterson & Sheridan, LLP Signature Printed Name Kin-Wah Tong, Eaq. Date CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mall in an envelope addressed io: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.	Fee Attached	Licensino	related Papers					ĺ			
After Final   Petition to Convert to a   Provisional Application   Proprietary Information   Affidavits/declaration(s)   Power of Attomey, Revocation   Change of Correspondence Address   Status Letter   Cother Enclosure(s)   (please identify below):   Facsimile Transmission   Interview Summary   It is believed no fee is due. However, in the event a fee is due, interview Summary   It is believed no fee is due. However, in the event a fee is due, interview Application   Reply to Missing Parts   It is believed no fee is due. However, in the event a fee is due, interview Application   Reply to Missing Parts   It is believed no fee is due. However, in the event a fee is due, interview Application   Reply to Missing Parts   It is believed no fee is due. However, in the event a fee is due, interview Summary   It is believed no fee is due. However, in the event a fee is due, duplicate copy of this letter is enclosed.    Signature   Sign		1 = '						1			
Provisional Application   Prophetary Information   Status Letter   Status Letter   Status Letter   Status Letter   Status Letter   Prophetary Information   Prophetary   Prophetary   Information   Prophetary   Prophetary   Prophetary   Prophetary   Prophetary   Prophetary   Prophetary   Prophetary   Prophetary Information   Prophetary   Pro	Amendment / Reply							İ			
Affidavits/declaration(s)	After Final			ľ	Proprieta	ary Information					
Express Abandonment Request    Request for Refund   Facsimile Transmission     Information Disclosure Statement   Landscape Table on CD     Certified Copy of Priority   Document(s)   Lis believed no fee is due. However, in the event a fee is due, kindly charge that fee to deposit account number 20-0782. To facilitate that charge, a duplicate copy of this letter is enclosed.    Reply to Missing Parts   Information   Reply to Missing Parts   Incomplete Application   In	Affidavils/declaration(s)				Status L	etter					
Express Abandonment Request   CO, Number of CD(s)   Interview Summary	Extension of Time Request	☐ Terminal	Terminal Disclaimer		Other E	nclosure(s) lentity below):					
Information Disclosure Statement											
Certified Copy of Priority   Remarks   It is believed no fee is due. However, in the event a fee is due, kindly charge that fee to deposit account number 20-0782. To facilitate that charge, a duplicate copy of this letter is enclosed.    Reply to Missing Parls   It is believed no fee is due. However, in the event a fee is due, kindly charge that fee to deposit account number 20-0782. To facilitate that charge, a duplicate copy of this letter is enclosed.    Signature   Signature   Printed Name   Kin-Wah Tong. Esq.	Express Abandonment Request CO, Numb		ber of CD(s)	Interview Su	mmary		l				
Document(s)   Kindly charge that fee to deposit account number 20-0782. To facilitate that charge, a duplicate copy of this letter is enclosed.   Reply to Missing Parts   under 37 CFR1.52 or 1.53	Information Disclosure States	ment La	Landscape Table on CD					j			
Reply to Missing Parts   duplicate copy of this letter is enclosed.								]			
Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR1.52 or 1.53  SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT  Firm Patterson & Sheridan, LLP  Signature  Printed Name Kin-Wah Tong, Esq.  Date February 21, 2008 Reg. No. 39,400  CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING  I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.  Signature	1 — '''							1			
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT  Firm Patterson & Sheridan, LLP  Signature  Printed Name Kin-Wah Tong, Esq.  Date February 21, 2006 Reg. 39,400  CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING  I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.  Signature	Incomplete Application										
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT  Firm Patterson & Sheridan, LLP  Signature  Printed Name Kin-Wah Tong, Esq.  Date February 21, 2008 Reg. No. 39,400  CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING  I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.  Signature		63						l			
Firm  Patterson & Sheridan, LLP  Signature  Printed Name  Kin-Wah Tong, Esq.  Date  February 21, 2006  Reg. No.  39,400  CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAJLING  I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.  Signature	didei 37 OF N.32 DF 1.							1			
Signature  Printed Name  Kin-Wah Tong, Esq.  Date  February 21, 2006  Reg. No. 39,400  CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING  I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.  Signature		SIGNATURE OF	APPLICANT, ATTO	RNEY, OF	RAGENT			┨			
Printed Name  Kin-Wah Tong, Esq.  Date  February 21, 2006  Reg. No. 39,400  CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING  I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.  Signature	Firm L	Patterson & \$h	eridan, LLP					j			
Date  February 21, 2006  Reg. No. 39,400  CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING  I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.  Signature	Signature	4	4-N/3			]					
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING  I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.  Signature	Printed Name	Kin-Wah Tong.	Esq.								
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.  Signature	Date	February 21, 2	006	Reg. No.	Reg. 39,400		39,400				
Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.  Signature		CERTIFICA	TE OF TRANSMISS	IONMAI	LING			7			
Vivari	Service with sufficient postage	as first class mail	in an envelope addres								
Typed or printed name Tara Carter Date February 21, 2006	Signature	ARTER	atel								
	Typed or printed name Tara Carter			Date	February 21, 20	06	フ				

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application, Confidentially is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case, any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need exsistence in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.